

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q59546

Hyun-doo SHIN, et al.

Allowed: September 20, 2007

Appln. No.: 09/822,838

Group Art Unit: 2624

Confirmation No.: 8476

Examiner: Brian Q. LE

Filed: April 2, 2001

For: THE USE OF A WAVELET-BASED DENOISING METHOD IN QUANTIFYING  
REPEATING PATTERNS IN TEXTURE FEATURES

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

**ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REMARKS**

Applicant offers the following comments in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance set forth in the Notice of Allowance dated June 1, 2007, the Examiner acknowledges that the claims are allowable because certain features therein are not taught or suggested by the prior art. However, the language used by the Examiner in describing these exemplary features is not the same as the language contained in all of the claims.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that each individual claim is allowable based on its own language, and not based on any paraphrasing of language that may be made by the Examiner. If the Examiner disagrees with Applicants' position, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reopen prosecution.

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by the Applicant to date. As emphasized in

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF  
REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE  
U.S. Application No.: 09/822,838

Attorney Docket No.: Q59546

the “Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) – Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed,” 1247OG111 (6/26/01), “a response to the examiner’s reasons for allowance” is an example of a paper that does “not cause substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process” and is “not considered a ‘failure to engage in reasonable efforts’ to conclude processing or examination of the application.” Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of the Notice of Allowance dated September 20, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

/ S. Stuart Lee/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

---

S. Stuart Lee  
Registration No. 61,124

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 13, 2007